Alexandra W. Miller

Partner

Alexandra W. Miller ("Sasha") is a nationally recognized litigator who maintains a robust civil litigation practice.

In the area of complex civil litigation, Sasha focuses on securities litigation, with an emphasis on SEC enforcement matters. She has represented individuals both in SEC administrative and federal court proceedings.

In the food and drug area, Sasha represents clients in administrative matters before the FDA relating to drug product approvals and issues arising under Hatch-Waxman. She also has experience representing clients in Hatch-Waxman litigation and advising clients on FDA regulatory issues in connection with transactions.

Sasha received her A.B., honors with thesis, from Brown University in 1994, and a J.D., cum laude, from the University of Michigan Law School in 1997. After law school, Sasha was a law clerk to the Hon. William D. Keller of the U.S. District Court for the Central District of California.

Professional leadership

- Member, District of Columbia Bar Legal Ethics Committee
 - o Member, 2012-2018
 - o Chair, 2017-2018
 - Vice Chair, 2015-2017
- Adjunct Faculty, Georgetown University Law Center, Spring 2012

Recognitions

- The Best Lawyers in America, FDA Law
- Super Lawyers (Washington, DC)

Bar admissions

- District of Columbia
- Illinois (Inactive)

Court admissions



Washington, DC +1 202.778.1845 202.822.8106 - Fax smiller@zuckerman.com

Practice focus

- Business Litigation
- Securities and Commodities Litigation
- Legal Profession and Ethics

Education

- University of Michigan Law School, J.D., cum laude, 1997
- Brown University, A.B., honors with thesis, 1994

Languages



- U.S. District Court, District of Columbia
- U.S. District Court, Eastern District of Michigan

Clerkships

• Hon. William D. Keller, U.S. District Court, Central District of California

Representative matters

- Represented the former Chief Business Officer of Freddie Mac in connection with successful settlement of an SEC enforcement action arising from Freddie Mac's 2006-2008 subprime loan disclosures. The resolution denied all of the remedies the SEC sought and instead resolved the SEC's claims without the client having to pay any money out of pocket or agree to any restrictions that altered her employment. The case, which received substantial attention when it was brought by the SEC, was vigorously litigated for more than three years, and was favorably resolved near the end of a lengthy discovery process. The firm's work helped the SEC conclude that they were, as put by a lawyer who was not involved in the case "going to have a tough fight on this," leading to the favorable result for our client.
- Persuaded, the SEC at the Wells submission stage of an investigation not to initiate an enforcement proceeding against clients involved in the residential mortgagebacked securities (RMBS) business.
- Represented a former chief financial officer in a civil enforcement action brought by the SEC alleging failure to meet the requirements of U.S. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP) and making misleading statements regarding quarterly earnings. The case settled on favorable terms for the client the day before trial was scheduled to commence.
- Represented a former portfolio manager in an SEC investigation and enforcement proceeding arising out of the New York Attorney General's and the SEC's omnibus investigation of market timing in the mutual fund industry. The SEC filed anti-fraud charges against our client and the case was tried for nine days before an administrative law judge (ALJ). In her decision, the ALJ adopted, in large part, Zuckerman Spaeder's arguments and declined to impose any bar order or civil money penalties against our client.

•





